UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. |               |  |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/598,977               | 09/15/2006     | Hyun-Bok Lee         | 20040-00020 2809                     |               |  |
| 35736<br>JHK LAW         | 7590 09/10/200 | 1                    | EXAMINER                             |               |  |
| P.O. BOX 1078            |                | FERGUSON, MICHAEL P  |                                      |               |  |
| LA CANADA, CA 91012-1078 |                |                      | ART UNIT                             | PAPER NUMBER  |  |
|                          |                |                      | 3679                                 |               |  |
|                          |                |                      |                                      |               |  |
|                          |                |                      | MAIL DATE                            | DELIVERY MODE |  |
|                          |                |                      | 09/10/2008                           | PAPER         |  |

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  |   | Applicat                | ion No.                | Applicant(s)            |         |  |  |  |
|--|---|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------|--|--|--|
|  |   | 10/598,9                | 977                    | LEE, HYUN-BOK           |         |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  |   |                         | r                      | Art Unit                |         |  |  |  |
|  |   | MICHAE                  | L P. FERGUSON          | 3679                    |         |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this commun<br>or Reply   | ication appears on th   | e cover sheet with the | correspondence addres   | s       |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                         |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                         |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
| 1) 又   | Responsive to communication(s) file   | ed on 15 Sentember      | 2006                   |                         |         |  |  |  |
| 2a)□   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 September 2006</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  |                         |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
| 3)   |   | <i>'</i> —              |                        | rosecution as to the me | rits is |  |  |  |
| ٥,١  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                         |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims  |                         |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the app  | lication                |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                         |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
|  | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                         |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.  |                         |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
| ·  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |                         |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
| •  | Claim(s) are subject to restrict  | ction and/or election   | requirement.           |                         |         |  |  |  |
|  | on Papers   |                         | ·                      |                         |         |  |  |  |
|  |   | o Evaminor              |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2006 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                         |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
| 10)23  | Applicant may not request that any obje   |                         |                        |                         | •       |  |  |  |
|  |   |                         |                        | • •                     | 121(d)  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                         |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
| ,—   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   | , <u>-</u>              |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
|  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim   | for foreign priority us | ndor 35 II S C & 110/  | a) (d) or (f)           |         |  |  |  |
| •  | X All b) Some * c) None of:   | ior loreign priority di | idel 33 0.3.0. g 113(a | a)-(u) or (r).          |         |  |  |  |
| ار م   | 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority  | documents have be       | en received            |                         |         |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority   |                         |                        | tion No                 |         |  |  |  |
|  |   |                         |                        |                         | ID.     |  |  |  |
|  | 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |                         |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
| * 5  | * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |                         |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
| 255 the attached detailed entire detail for a factor the definited copies not received.  |   |                         |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
|  |   |                         |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  | ` '   |                         | 4) 🔲 Intonio C         | w/PTO 412\              |         |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |   |                         |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
| 3) \overline Inform  | B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  |                         |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/15/06</u> . 6) Other:  |   |                         |                        |                         |         |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/598,977 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the following:

In the abstract, line 1, recites "Discloses is a case which houses". It should recite --A case houses--.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Willy Voit (EP 0 446 866).

As to claim 1, Willy Voit discloses a case which houses an amateur assembly generating a torque in a car start motor, characterized in that:

the case is formed so that it is divided into an inner tube **1** and an outer tube **5**, and the inner tube and the outer tube are firmly coupled to each other by forced fitting and fixed holes **3** of the outer tube are inserted into through holes **2** of the inner tube, thereby keeping a firm coupling state (Figures 6-8, abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/598,977 Page 3

Art Unit: 3679

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure. The following patents show the state of the art with respect to motor cases:

Lyle et al. (US 5,299,350), Ortt et al. (US 6,903,475), Schaefer (US 3,289,018), Standard Elektrik Lorenz (DE 31 35 217) and Electrolux (GB 2 016 775) are cited for pertaining to cases comprising inner and outer tubes coupled by fixed holes inserted into through holes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL P. FERGUSON whose telephone number is (571)272-7081. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30am-3:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571)272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,977 Page 4

Art Unit: 3679

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MPF 09/05/08

> /Michael P. Ferguson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3679